



Ref: FOI/GS/ID 6525

Please reply to: FOI Administrator Trust Management Maidstone Hospital Hermitage Lane Maidstone, Kent ME16 9QQ

Email: mtw-tr.foiadmin@nhs.net

www.mtw.nhs.uk

11 February 2021

## Freedom of Information Act 2000

I am writing in response to your request for information made under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 in relation to Maternity services risk assessment.

## You asked:

I would like an update on your risk assessment that you stated you were conducting in November 2020 into fathers attending maternity appointments.

# Trust response:

Please find below the LMS System risk assessment 2021 partner visiting as requested.

### **Risk Assessment Form**

### Risk assessment title

The reintroduction of partners in line with a national directive: A system wide approach

January 2021

**Hazard**: Anything that has the potential to cause harm, loss or damage to individuals, services, the organisation or the environment.

**Risk**: Risk is the likelihood of potential harm being realised.

## **Location:**

- ➤ Ultrasound departments (USS)
- ➤ Early pregnancy, Ante natal/ post-natal appointments performed in community areas inclusive of GP premises (OPD)
- ➤ Inpatient areas such as triage/labour ward etc (IPD)
- ➤ Homebirths (HB)

**Risk Assessment Form** 

Author: Risk and Compliance Manager

Review date: March 2023 Version no.: 7.0 RWF-OWP-APP55 Page 1 of 11



# **Description of hazard:**

## Reintroduction of partners and rising transmission of COVID infection

The MTW risk template is used, but this risk assessment has been written to cover four Trusts in the Kent local maternity system.

The Local Maternity System [LMS] in Kent has implemented a policy that no partners are allowed to accompany their pregnant partner to all contacts within the maternity pathway unless there are complex circumstances such as women with learning difficulties, under 18, surrogate pregnancies etc. To date, there are some local differences due to estates and staffing provision but essentially there is not one provider that has normal partner attendance to all contacts.

The aim of restricting partner visiting has been to protect both those in pregnancy, other patients who co-share waiting rooms and staff during the COVID 19 pandemic. This ensures that our services are assured that the measures that they have in place have not placed any individual unduly at risk of contracting COVID 19.

Due to COVID infection, units have experienced the need for staff to shield, have experienced increased sickness rates depleting available staff to manage activity and thus requiring focus on maintaining core activity to safely meet the needs of women's scanning. Most obstetric sonography teams are small, and very specialised. There has been for some years a national shortage of skilled sonographers and gaps in workforce have not been covered by alternatives such as agency which already caused pressures in service provision, prior to the pandemic.

Currently all Trusts in the Kent health system offer partner presence at:

- The birth
- Fetal Medicine appointments [performed by Obstetricians]
- Scans for complex circumstances [as outlined above]

Two Trusts have started since December 2020 to offer partner attendance at the 20 week scan. However, this week [w/c 18/1/21], other systems that had started partner visiting have stopped due to the rapid and significant rise in COVID-19 cases in the local communities and hospital, significant absences members of the scanning team https://www.esht.nhs.uk/service/maternity/

All Trust maternity sonography and midwifery departments will have differing issues with staffing, room size and ability to socially distance; the waiting room size and co-use with other specialties, for eg: Paediatrics and Gynaecology. This has led to uncertainty as to the best approach to take as a system, understanding that what one Trust implements will most likely impact other Trusts in terms of feedback from women.

The LMS therefore wish to implement a collaborative approach where support is offered to all in planning to reintroduce partners at all contacts, which will be fair, robust and deliver effectively

Risk Assessment Form

Author: Risk and Compliance Manager

Review date: March 2023 Version no.: 7.0



across Kent. It should be noted that whilst this collaboration is firstly aimed at the acute Trusts, the system will also include other practice providers, as GP and SureStart.

# **Current practice**

### Antenatal appointments (OPD)

Throughout the Kent and Medway geographical patch there is a large number of AN and PN contacts performed in GP, SureStart and acute OPD settings. Individual risk assessments for each system provider are essential to understand the risk of each provision, allowing informed decisions to be made. This can be further complicated with the provision to ensure space is adequate to meet the needs of the woman, partner, midwife and on occasion a student midwife. The University is clear that the partner must always be seen as the priority and therefore the student would need to step back if a partner arrives in a facility that cannot safely support 3 individuals in a room. There is an added complexity that some services predominantly 'run' out of GP surgeries and it has been made explicitly clear to date that partners cannot attend the surgeries as a support to women. In some cases currently, women are expected to remain outside until the midwife is ready to see the woman. In addition some rooms that are occupied in SureStart centres are too small to safely support social distancing.

In a hospital setting the waiting room facilities wouldn't always support a safe and socially distanced approach but a concierge facility could support calling partner in from a car park or sun-waiting area.

#### Action

- Each provider to ensure adequate risk assessments have been performed in all OPD settings.
- CCG/ICS to discuss with GP providers the current provision of women standing outside and whether they could utilise the waiting rooms; especially during the winter months
- CCG/ICS to ask GP providers their thoughts on partner and woman testing and if this would aid their decision making in terms of accessibility to appointments in their services.
- To consider a full review of estates in the community settings and scope larger rooms for midwifery contacts this will take some considerable time.
- To consider the employment of a concierge facility to support partners in the acute ANC department

As a system it was agreed that until GP services have confirmed when they will support the reintroduction of partners we cannot progress as this poses a huge inequity across the system.

#### Ultrasound Scans

Throughout the Kent and Medway area the 4 providers have different pathways in place to support partner's presence at scans. Each provider has performed a risk assessment and is working towards a solution to resume partner attendance. As a starting point the system discussed 12 and 20 week scans. The same issues apply to some situations as that of the AN and PN appointments but the main issue lies with the staff anxiety and high risk staff in a confined space. Concerns were raised that women may defer appointments to have partner attend and this could impinge on PHE standards. It was agreed that growth and urgent scans would continue at present with no partner presence but should work towards reintroduction.

# Action

- For all units to aim to support 1 scan (20 week) at present.
- To ensure all sonography staff are vaccinated
- To scope a concierge approach for the future reintroduction of the scan to ensure waiting room areas are not unsafe.
- To scope live streaming of scans (MTW are trialling) as an option for improved links with mothers and families.

### Admissions to the acute service

Overarching policy author: Risk and Compliance Manager

Admissions within a maternity setting are usually emergency contacts and therefore a planned approach would not be appropriate unless weekly testing was performed on all women and partners throughout their pregnancy.

One national paper suggests swabbing partners each time they leave and return to the department which will have huge strains on current staffing resource. If this was to be considered we would need to support a system wide recruitment of a swabbing team in the maternity departments on all sites. The provisions at the 4 trusts are each unique with MTW being the largest outlier in terms of complete single room provision for all women and partners. Due to this a system wide

**Risk Assessment Form** 

Author: Risk and Compliance Manager

Review date: March 2023 Version no.: 7.0

Overarching policy title: Risk Assessment Policy and Procedure [RWF-OPPPCS-NC-CG6]]

RWF-OWP-APP55 Page 3 of 11



agreement was developed in wave 1 where it was noted that MTW would support partner visiting at all times due to the safety element of single rooms and potential reduction of staffing levels. All the remaining 3 units have set visiting times with no overnight provision other than that in labour.

#### Action

Each unit has developed a robust system to support partners support in labour and post-delivery – no further action at present

### Homebirths

At present homebirth provision has been suspended across the region due to SECAMB surge level status. However, midwives feel vulnerable in the home as they have no understanding of what the COVID status is and that they further feel that social distancing is not often respected in the home. It was discussed and agreed that the national PCR program could be utilised in this situation.

#### Action

When homebirths are reintroduced, weekly testing of women and partners (and anyone else in attendance at birth) should be performed utilising the national program. This will be performed from 37 weeks

## Way forward

As COVID testing and vaccination progresses there is an opportunity to explore and consider the reintroduction of partners, how the teams and service will be supported and the timeline of actions required.

At present the preferred option for COVID testing has been agreed as the national home testing facility that functions from a national repository. The benefits of this include that this is a developed service and would not require any time or investment from the acute trusts and that this could be linked in easily to current systems. The considerations of this are:

- 1. The partner and woman lead on this and would need to ensure that all tests are registered and returned in a timely manner,
- 2. There is a 7 day window and can only be performed between day 2-7 prior to the appointment
- 3. Systems would need to be in place to support urgent appointments locally that do not fit in the current 2-7 day window.
- 4. Locally agreed turnaround of PCR tests would be in place
- 5. Some women may choose to defer essential appointments to have partner support. Risk assessments would need to be in place to support this.
- 6. This wouldn't be suitable for labour or urgent triage appointments local arrangements would need to be in place
- 7. Partners may test positive and this would impact on their ability to support women in labour

## Source of risk:

Discussion with Staff and feedback; staff COVID risk assessment and medical history

Demand and Capacity work within the department

Demand and capacity with microbiology teams

Local intelligence which details that local infection rate has increased

Local agreement with GP providers re access to services

Estates

- Internal Adverse Incidents and Claims (DIF 1 reports)
- External Safety Alerts (CAS)
- External Agency inspections and standards (CQC/HSE etc.)
- Complaints
- Internal Audit (South Coast Audit)

- Clinical Audit
- Local Risk Assessment (Department and Directorate)
- Strategic Risk Assessment (Division and Board)
- Best Practice Standards (e.g. NICE, Confidential Enquiries etc.)
- Surveys

# Who could be harmed and how:

If staff are not protected as best as possible to minimise their risk of contracting COVID-19, then depletion of staffing in this small, specialised group, could cause harm by;

- Diagnoses will be delayed if there are delays in nuchal and anomaly scan capacity
- Anomalies may not be identified in a timely manner if the first trimester and anomaly scans are not done with the required timeframe.
- Options for termination of pregnancy may be limited by anomaly scans performed outside the recommended window
- Women will be offered the Quad test for screening which is less accurate than screening by combined test at 12 weeks if women choose to defer until partner can attend

Assessors: Sarah Blanchard-Stow, Michelle Ahl	luwalia, Dot Smith, Claire Haywood, James Harman
<b>Apologies</b> – Ursula Marsh	
Reason for assessment:	
National guidance	2.01.21 looking at the impact of neutrons accommonsing
the patients to all contacts	2.01.21, looking at the impact of partners accompanying
the patients to an contacts	
Replaces: NA	
Assessment date:	Review date:
13/January/2021	13/February/2021

What control	Control measures in place				<b>Is it effective?</b> "If not, why not?"			
measures are	1.All sonographers wear PPE during scan				у			
in place to control the risk	2.Employed CSW to	clean scan room	ns and scan corridor after each	patient		у		
- how are risks	3.Ask all patients to s	sanitize hands be	efore entering scan room			у		
currently	4.Catch up slots in th	e list to help sor	nographer to keep to time, this	s is required	to help	N due to workload cannot always		
managed?	with changing of PPI	E, try to keep mi	nimum waiting times for pati	ents in waiti	ng area	have catch up slots		
	5.Extra cleaning in w	aiting areas and	toilets			N not provided		
Initial risk evalua	ation <i>"see Risk gradi</i>	ng matrix RWF-	OWP-APP51"					
			id 19 resulting in staff become				e unable to pro	vide
current scan servi	ce. No urgent scans. N	lot offering nuch	al scans to all the patients wi	thin the app	ropriate time	eframe.		
Likelihood (L) / Joccurrence with place "1 to 5"	probability of control measures in	2	Severity (S) / consequence of the risk "1 to 5"	4	Overall risk score (LxS) "1 to 25"	8	Overall risk rating "Colour"	Green
Is this residual ri	isk acceptable or do	Currently, not inviting partners to scans results in amber risk. However, the national directive is to						
significant risks	remain?	offer partners at all contacts in the maternal pathway.						
		transmission risassessment  COVID-19 infostaffing can be contacts should partners attended.	ection/isolation of staff- the p impacted adversely. Therefor I be a planned approach, ensurance has not be implemented ere would be unacceptable rise	andemic cure, any reintring appropriand is not in	l increase the rently rema roduction of riate mitigat	e risk level r ins at surge l partners at r ion in place.	equiring a new evel and theref naternal pathw Currently the p	ore ay olan for
All unresolved red or amber risks must be added to the risk register  Date added to the risk register								

Recommended action plan							
No.	Action (additional control measure/s)	<b>Anticipated costs</b>	Person responsible	Target date			
1	Additional cleaning in clinic and waiting areas as required	unknown	Head of domestics/Sonography lead	As soon as possible			
2	Local maternity system have agreed the 20 week as part of a controlled implementation so additional control measures and staff support can be in place eg: calling to room, room size, sonographer risk status for session	None	System Trust Maternity leads	12.01.21			
3	Sonographers to be vaccinated	National vaccine cost	Director of infection control / Vaccination lead	In progress			
4	Women and partners are COVID tested using the National home delivery swabbing service prior to their appointment	National swabbing costs	System Trust Maternity leads /Trust swabbing leads	Mid-February			
5	Partners are asked to attend wearing mask and maintaining social distance recommendations	None	Director of Operations/HOMs	Mid-February			
6	Partners to be called to the clinic room from a sub-waiting area to allow social distancing for others waiting as required	None	Director of Operations/HOMs	Mid-February			
7	LMS lead the Trusts in roll out of additional partner attendances in a planned approach, following evaluation of 20 week mitigation measures	None	Tracey Robinson	TBC			

Target risk evaluation "Risk if action plan is completed and new control measures effective"							
Likelihood (L) / probability of occurrence	2	Severity (S) / consequence of	43	Overall risk	6	Overall risk	Green
with control measures in place "1 to 5"		the risk "1 to 5"		score (LxS)		rating "Colour"	
				"1 to 25"			
Is this residual risk acceptable or do	Vaccii	nation of staff and COVID testing	g of wo	men and partner	rs will r	educe the risk to	
significant risks remain after actions are completed?	acceptable. However, this is not in place currently						
"give reasons"	LMS agreement- to offer partner attendance at the 20 week scan with woman and partner COVID testing; once mitigation actions are in place aim as a total LMS to start mid-February 2021.  Following review of 20 week scan attendance and learning, roll out of agreed attendance of other maternal pathway scans in the hospital Trusts, followed by the community clinics.						
All unresolved red or amber risks must be a	dded to	the risk register	Date a	dded to the risk	k regist	er	
"Contact Directorate Risk Lead"							

## Discussion and conclusions

It is recognised that all services want to support reintroduction of partners at contacts in the maternity pathway, as it is seen as a supportive action for women. Issues around sonographer staffing and internal and external estate concerns as a result of COVID-19 have been problematic and each unit has attempted, as best they can, to focus on maintaining a safe service in what is unprecedented times.

As there is now availability of vaccination for staff and COVID swabbing for women and partners, this would suggest that the system can start reintroducing partners following a planned approach, recognising lead in times to set up the COVID swabbing system.

Who has been notified of this risk						
Job title / responsibility	Name	Signed	Date			
Department Manager	Maidstone & Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust, Medway NHS Foundation Trust, Dartford and Gravesham NHS Trust, East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust		12.01.21			
Directorate Risk Lead	Maidstone & Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust, Medway NHS Foundation Trust, Dartford and Gravesham NHS Trust, East Kent Hospitals East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust		12.01.21			
Risk and Compliance	Trust Risk Leads	Notifiable if assessment is added to the Risk Register	<u> </u>			

Manager		
Others	LMS-Tracey Robinson	12.01.21